

Healthy Families Update

The contract between MRMIB and each HFP participating plan requires the plan to refer children suspected of having SED to the appropriate county mental health program. Table 1 below shows the number of SED referrals that were reported by participating plans during the 2000/01 benefit year (July 00-June 01). **This table includes only those children who were referred to a county mental health program from a HFP participating plan.** Referrals of HFP children to county mental health programs may come from other sources such as self-referrals, referrals from families or other community resources such as schools. Therefore, the total number of HFP subscribers who were referred to a county mental health program for SED services during the 2000/2001 benefit year is underrepresented in this report.

Table 1 **SED Referrals**

HFP Participating Plan	Total MH Referrals for 2000/2001 Benefit Year	Referrals as % of Total Plan Enrollments as of 6/30/01
Alameda Alliance for Health	29	0.5%
Blue Cross (HMO and EPO)	304	0.2%
Blue Shield (HMO and EPO)	14	0.05%
CalOPTIMA Kids	1	0.005%
Care 1st Health Plan	0	0.0%
Central Coast Alliance for Health	7	0.6%
Community Health Group	126	1.0%
Community Health Plan	28	0.1%
Contra Costa Health Services	37	2.0%
Health Net	40	0.06%
Health Plan of San Joaquin	56	0.8%
Health Plan of San Mateo	4	0.5%
Inland Empire Health Plan	22	0.1%
Kaiser Permanente	0	0.0%
Kern Family Health Care	7	0.1%
L.A. Care Health Plan	21	0.3%
Molina Healthcare	9	0.1%
San Francisco Health Plan	82	1.50%
Santa Barbara Regional Health Authority	71	5.0%
Santa Clara Family Health Plan	90	1.10%
Sharp Health Plan	6	0.04%
UHP Healthcare	1	0.05%
Universal Care	12	0.2%
Ventura County Health Care Plan	53	1.80%
TOTAL	1,098	0.24%

Health Plan SED Referrals

Total = 1,098
Percentage of HFP enrollees = 0.24%



Healthy Families Update

The data in Tables 1 and 2 indicates that HFP plans and local mental health departments may be missing opportunities to identify children with SED. Only 1/2 of 1% of children in the HFP have been identified as having SED. This is significantly below estimates that 3-5% of children have SED.

Table 2 **SED Children**

County	# of Enrollees as of 6/30/01	% of Enrollees as of 6/30/01	# of HFP SED Children	SED Children as % of County Enrollees
Alameda	9,124	2.0%	10	0.1%
Amador	330	0.07%	0	0.0%
Alpine	9	0.001%	0	0.0%
Butte	2,549	0.5%	49	1.9%
Calaveras	413	0.09%	0	0.0%
Colusa	997	0.2%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	5,081	1.1%	12	0.2%
Del Norte	265	0.06%	1	0.4%
El Dorado	1,514	0.3%	24	1.6%
Fresno	13,203	2.9%	36	0.3%
Glenn	859	0.2%	0	0.0%
Humboldt	1,329	0.3%	13	1.0%
Imperial	2,821	0.6%	0	0.0%
Inyo	150	0.03%	0	0.0%
Kern	11,642	2.5%	290	2.5%
Kings	2,068	0.4%	8	0.4%
Lake	1,036	0.2%	14	1.4%
Lassen	250	0.05%	0	0.0%
Los Angeles	140,378	31%	587	0.4%
Madera	2,328	0.5%	30	1.3%
Marin	1,509	0.3%	18	1.2%
Mariposa	250	0.05%	10	4.0%
Mendocino	1,594	0.3%	0	0.0%
Merced	4,621	1.0%	33	0.7%
Modoc	97	0.02%	0	0.0%
Mono	267	0.06%	0	0.0%
Monterey	8,377	2.0%	0	0.0%
Napa	1,249	0.3%	0	0.0%

County	# of Enrollees as of 6/30/01	% of Enrollees as of 6/30/01	# of HFP SED Children	SED Children as % of County Enrollees
Nevada	1,319	0.3%	32	2.4%
Orange	43,962	10%	0	0.0%
Placer	1,744	0.4%	0	0.0%
Plumas	191	0.04%	0	0.0%
Riverside	27,431	6.0%	109	0.4%
Sacramento	9,614	2.0%	72	0.7%
San Benito	957	0.2%	0	0.0%
San Bernardino	30,112	6.5%	110	0.4%
San Diego	37,337	8.1%	99	0.3%
San Francisco	9,209	2.3%	171	1.9%
San Joaquin	10,524	2.3%	98	0.9%
San Luis Obispo	2,959	0.6%	14	0.5%
San Mateo	3,664	0.8%	51	1.4%
Santa Barbara	5,136	1.1%	42	0.8%
Santa Clara	12,049	3.0%	0	0.0%
Santa Cruz	2,968	0.6%	23	0.8%
Shasta	3,385	0.7%	44	1.3%
Sierra	18	0.003%	0	0.0%
Siskiyou	560	0.12%	2	0.4%
Solano	2,814	0.6%	21	0.7%
Sonoma	5,413	1.1%	22	0.4%
Stanislaus	6,096	1.3%	62	1.0%
Sutter/Yuba	2,937	0.6%	4	0.1%
Tehama	980	0.2%	18	1.8%
Trinity	301	0.06%	7	2.3%
Tulare	8,438	1.8%	77	0.9%
Tuolumne	637	0.1%	0	0.0%
Ventura	10,437	2.3%	0	0.0%
Yolo	1,884	0.4%	0	0.0%
Total	457,386	100%	2,213	0.5%

As of 6/30/01, over 2,200 children enrolled in the HFP have received SED services through the county mental health programs. This represents .5% of the total HFP population.



Healthy Families Update

Expenditures for SED Services

HFP-SED related services have increased steadily over the last 3 years. Expenditures totaled \$8.4 million per state year. Sixty-six percent Federal and thirty-four percent county revenues are used to pay for SED services provided to children enrolled in the HFP. If HFP funding was not available, counties would have total responsibility for the cost of SED services.


Table 3 SED Claims Paid To Counties

County	7/1/99-6/30/00		7/1/00-6/30/01		Total Claims Paid 1999-2001
	9H	7X	9H	7X	
Claims Code	9H	7X	9H	7X	
Alameda	\$25,766	\$447	\$51,178	\$1,015	\$78,406
Amador	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	\$160,896	\$4,223	\$165,939	\$3,916	\$334,974
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	\$1,336	\$5,306	\$21,035	\$3,869	\$31,546
Del Norte	0	0	\$234	0	\$234
El Dorado	\$19,294	0	\$34,951	\$1,774	\$56,019
Fresno	\$3,350	\$369	\$47,707	0	\$51,426
Glenn	0	0	0	\$156	\$156
Humboldt	\$4,340	0	\$35,096	\$402	\$39,838
Imperial	0	0	0	\$1,197	\$1,197
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	\$275,916	0	\$850,124	\$21,214	\$1,147,254
Kings	0	\$94	0	\$1,350	\$1,440
Lake	\$2,519	0	\$60,450	\$1,069	\$64,038
Lassen	0	0	0	\$123	\$123
Los Angeles	\$190,431	\$3,407	\$1,221,443	\$1,641	\$1,416,922
Madera	\$410	0	\$71,197	\$4,570	\$76,177
Marin	\$56,705	\$467	\$63,467	\$1,612	\$122,251
Mariposa	\$11,592	0	\$8,460	0	\$20,052
Mendocino	\$1,379	\$1,219	0	\$3,002	\$5,600
Merced	0	0	\$84,922	\$1,242	\$86,164
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	0	\$119	\$119
Napa	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	\$23,002	0	\$53,942	\$1,245	\$78,189

County	7/1/99-6/30/00		7/1/00-6/30/01		Total Claims Paid 1999-2001
	9H	7X	9H	7X	
Claims Code	9H	7X	9H	7X	
Orange	0	\$17,030	0	\$6,952	\$23,982
Placer	0	0	0	\$100	\$100
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	\$137,208	\$1,111	\$217,876	\$330	\$356,495
Sacramento	\$198,075	\$383	\$218,562	\$6,639	\$423,659
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	\$132,200	\$792	\$192,405	\$1,032	\$326,429
San Diego	\$21,669	\$2,769	\$53,820	\$3,364	\$81,622
San Francisco	\$448,062	\$907	\$684,437	\$159	\$1,133,565
San Joaquin	\$130,333	\$740	\$213,786	\$2,721	\$347,580
San Luis Obispo	\$5,290	\$14,618	\$39,982	\$4,584	\$64,474
San Mateo	\$117,544	0	\$209,084	0	\$326,628
Santa Barbara	\$226,163	\$2,552	\$172,629	\$2,049	\$403,393
Santa Clara	0	\$1,571	0	\$1,430	\$3,001
Santa Cruz	\$124,956	\$2,025	\$244,383	\$6,184	\$377,548
Shasta	\$1,405	0	\$68,158	0	\$69,563
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	\$2,226	\$277	\$2,503
Solano	0	0	\$82,466	0	\$82,466
Sonoma	\$135,513	0	\$168,467	\$2,987	\$306,967
Stanislaus	\$63,733	\$4,337	\$112,917	\$5,205	\$186,192
Sutter/Yuba	\$749	0	\$2,908	\$541	\$4,198
Tehama	\$5,450	0	\$25,497	\$357	\$31,304
Trinity	0	0	\$6,540	\$162	\$6,702
Tulare	\$64,112	\$694	\$195,692	\$387	\$260,885
Tuolumne	0	0	0	\$1,499	\$1,449
Ventura	0	0	0	\$1,122	\$1,122
Yolo	0	\$74	0	0	\$74
Total	\$2,589,397	\$65,176	\$5,690,458	\$97,596	\$8,442,627

Healthy Families Update

SED Claims Paid by Type of Service for Benefit Year 2000-2001



- Inpatient
- Day Treatment
- Linkage/Brokerage
- Mental Health Services
- Medication Support
- Crisis



Healthy Families Update

STATEWIDE APPROVED DOLLAR AMOUNTS FOR HFP/SED CLAIMS
OVER 3 YEARS





Healthy Families Update

Table 5 shows the breakdown of HFP/SED expenditures paid by type of service for benefit years 2000-2001. The majority of claims paid for HFP/SED children for benefit years 2000-2001 (74%) are for Mental Health Services.

Table 5 SED Claims Paid by Type of Service for Benefit Year 2000-2001

Type of Service	Claim Code 9H	Claim Code 7X	Total Dollars Paid	Claims as % of Total Dollars
Inpatient	\$56,138	\$2,321	\$58,459	1%
Day Treatment	\$327,391	\$7,159	\$334,550	5.8%
Linkage/Brokerage	\$423,098	\$6,244	\$429,342	7.4%
Mental Health Services	\$4,226,253	\$68,745	\$4,294,998	74.2%
Medication Support	\$482,718	\$8,490	\$491,208	8.4%
Crisis	\$174,860	\$4,637	\$179,497	3.1%
Total	\$5,690,458	\$97,596	\$5,788,054	100%

HEALTHY FAMILIES BILLING QUESTION

What do I do if someone has HF, but is not on the MEDS File or the MMEF?

At this time there is sometimes a lag between enrollment in HFP and the information being posted to the MEDS file and/or the MMEF. If your client cannot be found on either file and they are enrolled in the HFP, contact AER Appeals/Program Review Dept., Healthy Families Program, 916-859-2354.

Who is responsible for the purchase of medications under the HFP-SED?

Under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Health Plans and the County Mental Health Plans "children accepted for treatment by the department as seriously emotionally disturbed will be eligible for medically

necessary outpatient medication and laboratory services that are part of the child's outpatient treatment plan with the Department."

CIMH IMPLEMENTING HEALTHY FAMILIES PROJECT

The project has been involved in crafting a Dispute Resolution Process for the counties and health plans in the event of disputes between the entities. This document is nearing completion and will be submitted for review shortly.

The counties that served the largest number of HFP SED children are:

- Los Angeles (587)**
- Kern (290)**
- San Francisco (171)**
- San Bernardino (110)**
- Riverside (109)**



CIMH

2030 J St
Sacramento, CA
95814

Memorandum of Understanding

The MOU describes a common set of responsibilities for HFP participating plans and county mental health programs. Plans participating in the HFP are required to submit an MOU that has been signed by a plan official and a county mental health program official. Individual MOUs are required for each of the counties in which the plan participates in the HFP. Once signed, the MOUs remain in effect until the plan ceases to participate in the HFP.

Of the 211 MH MOUs that were required for all plans for the 2000/2001 benefit year, **184 MOUs** have been received by MRMIB. The outstanding MOUs are the result of delays in either a plan and/or a county signing the MOUs. Factors that have lead to these delays include: 1) some counties requiring a formal contract as a condition of signing the MH MOUs, 2) requests by some counties or HFP plans to make revisions to

the MOU, and 3) the need to blend county policies and procedures with plan operational policies and procedures.

Outstanding Mental Health MOUs:

Kaiser	(21)
Blue Cross	(1)
<i>Monterey County</i>	
Health Net	(1)
<i>Imperial County</i>	

Acknowledgements

The County Mental Health Services for SED Treatment Report was prepared with assistance from Erika Cristo and Sara Gilb of DMH; and Mary Jane Alumbaugh, PhD of CIMH. Technical Assistance was provided by James F. Chrysler, MA, Benefits Specialist, MRMIB. Prepared by Nora Nario, RN, BSN, Program Research Analylyst II.